Cascas: 08:08-0:16011-JLD door on enter 21123 Filled 04/270/2909Page at gent 3 of 3

1 2 3 4	Michael Cohen - #98066 LAW OFFICES OF BRUCE E. KRELL Grove Law Building 345 Grove Street San Francisco, CA 94102 415/861-4414 Fax: 415/431-4526	E-filing Original FILED 3/24/08 Richard W. Wieking Clerk, U.S. District Court Northern District of California San Francisco	
5	Attorney for Plaintiffs		
6		ADR	
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9	THE FAMILY OF SAMUEL SHULL: Linda Rines Shull, Samuel J. Shull, Jr., Jennifer Shull,	No. C08-01601 JL	
10	Cameron Rines-Caban, Jacob A. Rines-Caban,	FURTHER STIPULATION RE ADR;	
11	Nathan Shull, Soaring Eagle (LeeAnn) Rines, Casey Shull, Alberta Rines and Willie Smith,	(Proposed) ORDER THERON	
12	Plaintiffs,		
13	VS.		
14	Keystone America, Inc., dba Jones and Lewis	ACTION FILED: 3/24/08	
15	Clear Lake Memorial Chapel, and DOES 1-100,	TRIAL DATE: NOT ASSIGNED	
16	Defendants.		
17	STIPULATION		
18	WHEREAS:		
19	1. The parties initially stipulated to Mediation, then agreed that a Magistrate		
20	Judge Settlement Conference would offer more promise of settlement, and obtained an Order by		
21	stipulation changing the ADR to Magistrate Judge Settlement Conference.		
22	2. However, recent events have caused the parties to agree that:		
23	a. A Magistrate Judge S	Settlement Conference would not be	
24	productive, and would therefore be an unjustified use of a Magistrate Judge's time, because the		
25	parties are too far apart (in fact, much further apart than they had imagined) for a settlement		
26	conference of any kind to bridge the gap; and		
	1		
	Further Stipulation re ADR; (Proposed) Order Thereon		
		•	

1	b. The Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) Program would most likely		
2	be more useful, because, as the Parties understand it, the goal of the exercise would be simply for		
3	the neutral to provide the parties with as objective evaluation of the value of the case as possible,		
4	less affected by the techniques often used in mediation to exaggerate and/or soft-pedal certain		
5	facts and/or issues in order to coax the parties towards each other.		
6	3. Plaintiffs' counsel also feels strongly that, since the chances of settlement		
7	at this time are so low, it would be unconscionable to force the ten (10) Plaintiffs in this case,		
8	who must travel from distance, to attend and be subjected to the intense emotions associated with		
9	the case, which involves the severe damaging of their loved-one's body by the Defendant's		
10	driver failing to secure the body properly into a van, then negligently crashing the van into a		
11	stopped car at, according to the police report, 55 mph.		
12	4. Both parties' counsel are available for trial any date from mid-February		
13	2010.		
14	THEREFORE, THE PARTIES, BY COUNSEL, HEREBY STIPULATE AND		
15	REQUEST that:		
16	The currently scheduled Magistrate Judge Settlement Conference and		
17	preceding scheduling be vacated;		
18	2. The case be referred for Early Neutral Evaluation; and		
19	3. Trial be set for a date following the likely date of the Early Neutral		
20	Evaluation, but no earlier than mid-February, 2010.		
21	DATED: 4/7/09 DATED: 4/7/09		
22	LAW OFFICES OF BRUCE E. KRELL, INC., LEWIS BRISBOIS, BISGAARD & SMITH,		
23	By/S/ By/S/ Howard Churchill		
24			
25	ORDER		
26	The Court having read and considered the above-Stipulation, and good cause appearing,		
	2		

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: The currently scheduled Magistrate Judge Settlement Conference and 1. preceding scheduling shall be, and it hereby is, vacated; The case shall be, and it hereby is, referred for Early Neutral Evaluation; 2. The Case Management Conference currently scheduled for May 6, 2009, 3. shall be, and it hereby is, vacated; and continued to June 24, 2009 at 10:30 a.m. Trial is set for _(to be determined) 4. DATED: April 20, 2009